UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,674	08/13/2007	Markus Dollinger	2400.0700000/VLC/CMB	1839
	7590 10/28/200 SLER, GOLDSTEIN &		EXAMINER	
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			SULLIVAN, DANIELLE D	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/591,674	DOLLINGER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DANIELLE SULLIVAN	1616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 S</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the process.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/1/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5 are pending examination on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 provides for the use of a composition, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products*, *Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/591,674

Art Unit: 1616

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Feucht et al. (US 2005/0003963) in view of Ziemer et al. (US 2003/0078167).

Applicant's Invention

Applicant claims a composition comprising (a) formula (I):

; (b) one or more compounds selected

Page 3

from the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/591,674

Art Unit: 1616

: and optionally, (c) a crop plant tolerance promoter compound, preferably benoxacor, mefenpyr-diethyl, fenchlorazole-ethyl, isoxadifen-ethyl, cloquintocet-mexyl, and N-cyclopropyl-4-[[(2-methoxybenzoyl)amino]sulfonyl]-benzamide.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,674 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Applicants also claim a method of controlling unwanted plants by using the composition and a process of producing the composition by mixing the composition with surfactants and/or extenders.

Determination of the scope and the content of the prior art (MPEP 2141.01)

Feucht et al. teach herbicidal compositions comprising (a) formula (I); (b) at least one known herbicide and (c) a safener (abstract, Table 1, Example I-2). The herbicide may be a benzoylcyclohexanedione, such as sulcotrione [0016 and Table 2]. The safener may be benoxacor, mefenpyr-diethyl or isoxadifen-ethyl [0018]. The compositions can be used to treat weeds of various varieties [0043]. The formulations are produced by mixing the compounds with extenders and/or surfactants [0064].

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP 2141.02)

Feucht et al. do not teach the specific compounds (b). However, sulcotrione, a benzoylcyclohexanedione, is taught to be used as compound (b). It is for this reason that Ziemer et al. is joined. Ziemer et al. teach that benzoylcyclohexanediones, including the specific compounds (b), are used as herbicidal crop protectants (abstract, Table I: Formula H2, H4, H7 and H8).

Finding of prima facie obviousness

Rationale and Motivation (MPEP 2142-2143)

Application/Control Number: 10/591,674 Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Feucht et al. and Ziemer et al. to utilize the specific compounds (b). One would have been motivated to include these compounds because Ziemer et al. teach that the compounds are benzoylcyclohexanediones with herbicidal activity. It would be prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose in order to form a third composition that is to be used for the very same purpose; the idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in prior art." In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069, (C.C.P.A. 1980). Thus, combining the specific compounds (b) with the composition taught by Feucht et al. is obvious.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danielle Sullivan whose telephone number is (571) 270-3285. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM Mon-Thur EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,674 Page 7

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Danielle Sullivan Patent Examiner Art Unit 1616

/Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616